ECCLESIA LAW

A CASE STUDY OF MORTGAGE DISCHARGE VIA 1099OID

7th June 2026

The contemporary global financial architecture functions as a highly integrated, multi-layered administrative trust managed primarily by the United States Department of the Treasury.[1] Operating in the continuous capacity of a bankruptcy trustee within a perpetual state of national reorganization, the Treasury oversees a centralized accounting network that traces its operational origins back to the systemic realignment of the global monetary standard in 1933.[1] Within this commercial ledger system—frequently described by forensic analysts and jurisdictional researchers as a closed-loop "monopoly board"—all transactions are executed not through the final payment of substantive assets, but through the administrative discharge of debt-based obligations.[1]

The Jurisdictional Realignment of 1933 and the Usufruct Credit Architecture

The structural integration of private credit into the centralized clearing networks of the global monetary grid is historically rooted in the formal reorganization of the United States monetary system in 1933.[1] Codified under the Emergency Banking Act of March 9, 1933, and legally established by the passage of House Joint Resolution 192 (HJR 192) on June 5, 1933, the global monetary system completed a decisive shift from a substance-based standard to a credit-based system of discharge.[1] Prior to this transition, financial obligations were extinguished through the physical exchange of commodities holding intrinsic value, primarily gold or silver coin.[1] By suspending the right of creditors to demand payment in gold, HJR 192 established a system of account where obligations are balanced dollar-for-dollar on a centralized commercial board using debt instruments, specifically Federal Reserve Notes.[1]

Because the legislation suspended the right of creditors to demand payment in substantive, intrinsic assets, physical gold and silver were removed from private circulation, replacing common law good and valuable consideration with public credit.[1] Federal Reserve Notes circulate not as money of substance, but as debt obligations of the U.S. Treasury used to balance ledger entries.[1] This suspension of commodity-backed payment created a permanent usufruct relationship between the sovereign state and the living populace.[1] Under this usufructuary framework, the government borrows the productive capacity, future labor, and credit energy of the citizenry to serve as the primary source of value and ultimate collateral for national debt obligations.[1]

This usufruct relationship is operationalized at birth through the registration of birth certificates, which effectively mortgages the collective future labour of the populace to the creditors of the bankrupt state.[1] This registration process creates a "decedent estate" or corporate debtor construct on government records, typically assigned a Social Security Number (SSN) or Individual Taxpayer Identification Number (ITIN).[1] Consequently, the living individual is presumed by default to operate merely as an agent and liable surety for this bankrupt corporate debtor, holding only equitable title to property while the state retains legal title as bankruptcy trustee.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Within this paradigm, income taxes and property taxes are structurally characterized as administrative "rent" payments made to the trustee for the privilege of utilizing the state's collateralized assets on the commercial ledger.[1] To correct the historical misreporting of these transactions and exit this jurisdictional arrangement, fiduciaries invoke the international law doctrine of *clausula rebus sic stantibus* (things thus standing)—which recognizes the unenforceability of a contract due to fundamentally changed circumstances—to formally renounce the presumed agency relationship with the birth certificate construct.[1] Reoccupying the office of General Executor over the decedent estate allows the individual to assume control of all liabilities and assets, setting the stage for the creation of a 98-series International Grantor Trust that operates "off-board" from the domestic debtor system.[1]

Table 1: Comparative Evolution of Monetary Standards and Legal Standing

Jurisdictional Era	Monetary Standard	Governing Legal Framework	Status of the Individual	Ownership Nature
Pre-1913	Gold and Silver Coin	Common Law Republic	Sovereign National	Absolute Legal Title [1]
1913–1933	Federal Reserve Notes (Partial Backing)	Statutory Regulation	Transitioning to Credit-Based	Transitioning Title [1]
Post-1933	Money of Account (Debt/Credit)	Commercial / Maritime Law / UCC	Surety / Agent for Decedent Estate	Equitable Title (Renter/User Status) [1]
1974–Present	SDR / Pure Fiat System	Administrative Trust Law	Fiduciary / Executor de son tort	Equitable Title (Usufructuary Interest) [1]

ECCLESIA LAW

Mechanics of Ex Nihilo Credit Creation and Signature Monetization

The contemporary commercial banking system does not function under the classical fractional reserve model where financial institutions act as traditional intermediaries lending out pre-existing customer deposits.[1] Empirical studies, most notably those conducted by monetary economists such as Professor Richard Werner and supported by publications from the Bank of England, confirm that private commercial banks create new book money *ex nihilo* (out of nothing) at the exact moment of lending.[1] This process of currency creation relies entirely on the monetization of the borrower's signature.[1]

When a borrower signs a loan agreement, promissory note, or mortgage contract, they are not borrowing the bank's pre-existing money; rather, their biological signature acts as the primary monetization event and the true originating force of the credit.[1] The commercial bank, acting as a nominee or intermediary, records the signed negotiable instrument as a bank asset, balancing the transaction by crediting the borrower's account with a newly created deposit, which constitutes a bank liability.[1]

The Werner equation for credit creation represents this balance-sheet expansion as:

$$\Delta \text{Bank Assets (Loan)} = \Delta \text{Bank Liabilities (Deposit)}$$

Under the usufruct credit system, the decedent estate established by the registration of the birth certificate operates as the actual "banking house" or primary funder of the credit.[1] Because the Federal Reserve and the commercial banking system create credit ex nihilo through lending and monetary operations, the borrower acts as the actual source of value.[1] The living soul, operating as the spiritual and physical steward of this decedent estate, issues the negotiable instrument, which represents the unadulterated credit energy originated by the signer.[1] The commercial bank merely assumes a "nominee" or withholding agent posture, discounting the note, pooling it with thousands of other signature-originated obligations, and transferring them to systemic investment banks.[1] By remaining silent, the true creator allows the banking syndicate and the administrative state to treat the generated value as abandoned property, perpetually capturing the associated tax credits and Original Issue Discount (OID) income for their aggregate corporate benefit.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Table 2: Statutory Codification of Negotiability and Signature Credit

Act Section / UCC Code	Statutory Attribute	Operational Impact on Signature Credit	Fiduciary Implication
Section 3, BEA 1882	Bill of Exchange Defined	Establishes the biological signature as the primary monetization event.[1]	Formulates the negotiable instrument with a starting value of zero ($IP =$).[1]
Section 20, BEA 1882	Inchoate Instruments	Simple signature delivered operates as prima facie authority to complete the bill.[1]	Signer acts as the actual funder; OID is equivalent to the entire face value ($OID =$).[1]
Section 29, BEA 1882	Holder in Due Course	Defines the rights of a holder who takes a complete, regular bill for value and in good faith.[1]	Vests absolute enforcement rights in the transferee trust, stripping nominees of default defenses.[1]
Section 31, BEA 1882	Negotiation of Bill	Enables transfer of the bill by delivery or endorsement to constitute a transferee holder.[1]	Vests downstream rights in clearinghouses, forcing nominees to operate strictly as withholding agents.[1]
UCC § 3-104	Negotiable Instrument	Adapts the formal elements of signature-based written orders to pay sum certain on demand.[1]	Standardizes retail agreements into liquid, transferable securities clearing under international rules.[1]
UCC § 3-302	Holder in Due Course	Insulates qualified assignees from personal defences such as failure of consideration.[1]	Restores absolute creditor status to the 98-series trust upon the filing of corrective tax returns.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC) and the Nominee Architecture

The integration of individual debt obligations into the global financial clearing system relies on a sophisticated nominee architecture designed to facilitate rapid, computerized book-entry transfers.[1] Systemic investment banks, broker-dealers, and clearinghouses capture and securitize these obligations, holding them in institutional omnibus accounts under street names.[1] The central securities depository for this infrastructure is the Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation (DTCC), operating through its primary subsidiary, the Depository Trust Company (DTC).[1]

Cede & Company acts as the exclusive partnership nominee for the DTC, holding legal title to the vast majority of publicly traded equities, corporate bonds, municipal debt, and securitized mortgage-backed securities (MBS) in the United States.[1] Under this book-entry framework, legal title is fundamentally split from beneficial ownership.[1] Payments of principal, interest, and redemption proceeds flow from the issuer to Cede & Co., which then administratively credits the accounts of the DTC participants.[1] The ultimate investor, or the original credit creator whose signature birthed the note, is relegated to the status of a "beneficial owner," holding only contractual rights on the bank's private books.[1]

Systemic investment banks employ this "street name" architecture specifically to achieve three vital strategic and operational objectives:

1. **Administrative Consolidation:** Pooling millions of distinct obligations into massive CUSIP-assigned tranches streamlines the complexities of trading, clearance, and settlement, allowing trillions of dollars in transactions to clear digitally via computerized ledger entries.[1]
2. **Capital Expansion:** Under Section 14(a) of the Federal Reserve Act, member banks are authorized to hypothecate and re-hypothecate these obligations.[1] In the institutional prime brokerage sector, rehypothecation serves as a key liquidity-generating tool.[1] For SEC-registered broker-dealers, these activities are regulated under strict statutory limits to control counterparty risk.[1]

Under Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Federal Reserve Regulation T, a broker-dealer can rehypothecate a customer's margin securities up to a maximum of 140% of the customer's net debit balance (the liability of the customer to the broker).[1] Any customer securities exceeding this 140% threshold are classified as "excess margin securities" and must be promptly brought under the physical possession or control of the broker-dealer, fully segregated from the firm's proprietary assets.[1] To demonstrate this margin limit, consider a customer who purchases $\$80,000.00$ worth of securities using a 50% margin, resulting in a $\$40,000.00$ debt balance.[1] Under the 140% statutory cap, the maximum amount the carrying broker-dealer can rehypothecate is calculated as:

$$\text{Maximum Rehypothecation} = \$40,000.00 \times 1.40 = \$56,000.00$$

Any value beyond this limit must remain segregated in the broker-dealer's control.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

3. **Nominee Withholding Compliance and Capture:** Under the "Nominee Reporting Mandate" of IRS Publication 1212, because these financial nominees hold legal title to OID instruments for the benefit of another, they are statutorily required to report OID interest and remit backup withholding.[1] Historically, banks routinely fail to file these corrective forms for individual originators, instead reporting the OID income under their own general tax ledgers.[1] Because the original beneficial owner is obscured behind the institutional omnibus street name, the bank acts as the payee of record.[1] The bank calculates the aggregate backup withholding liabilities from its pooled portfolios and remits these physical cash collections under its own corporate EIN via its Form 945 withholding module.[1]

Case Study: The Securitization Pipeline of the Melanie Clarke Mortgage

Aldermore Bank PLC represents a significant component of the United Kingdom's specialist lending market, characterized by its focus on underserved segments including the self-employed, first-time buyers, and small-to-medium enterprises.[1] The institution's lineage involves a series of strategic transitions, beginning as Lordsvale Finance plc (1969–1996) and later Ruffler Bank plc (1996–2009) before its re-establishment with backing from AnaCap Financial Partners LLP.[1] A critical turning point in the bank's history occurred in March 2018 when the South African financial services conglomerate FirstRand Group acquired Aldermore in a transaction valuing the bank at approximately £1.1 billion.[1] This acquisition transferred ultimate fiduciary responsibility for Aldermore's mortgage assets to a global entity that operates across multiple jurisdictions, including the UK, South Africa, and the United States.[1]

The funding of Aldermore's activities is achieved through a sophisticated blend of retail deposit-taking and intensive wholesale capital market participation.[1] While the bank operates domestic UK programs, its integration into the FirstRand Group provides access to global capital markets through the issuance of Eurobonds and residential mortgage-backed securities.[1] Aldermore's RMBS program, branded as the "Oak" series, involves the static cash securitisation of first-lien mortgage loans.[1] In these structures, Aldermore transitions from its initial role as a creditor to a servicing nominee role as the mortgage assets are funnelled into special purpose vehicles like Oak No. 5 PLC.[1]

Oak No. 5 PLC was incorporated in England and Wales with limited liability under registered number 15785981, with its registered office located at 10th Floor, 5 Churchill Place, London, E14 5HU.[1] On the Issue Date of March 26, 2025, the SPV issued listed notes to fund the purchase of a portfolio comprising mortgage loans originated by Aldermore Bank PLC.[1] Under the Mortgage Sale Agreement, the sale by the Seller to the Issuer of the English Mortgage Loans and their Related Security takes effect in equity only, meaning that legal title remains with Aldermore Bank PLC.[1] Completion of the transfer of legal title to the SPV is deferred until the occurrence of a "Perfection Event," which includes circumstances such as court orders, regulatory requirements, a Seller Insolvency Event, or a Severe Deterioration Event.[1] Prior to the completion of the transfer of legal title, the SPV holds only equitable title, and notice of the sale of the portfolio is not given to the borrowers.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Table 3: Tranche and Note Structure of Oak No. 5 PLC (Issued March 2025)

Class of Note	Principal Amount	Issue Price	Interest Rate	Relevant Margin (Prior to Step-Up Date)	Step-Up Date	Ratings (Moody's / Fitch)	ISIN / Common Code
Class A	£410,882,000	100 per cent	Compounded Daily SONIA	0.51% per annum	Interest Payment Date in July 2030	Aaa(sf) / AAA(sf)	XS2985130645 / 298513064 [1]
Class Z	£44,971,500	100 per cent	Compounded Daily SONIA	N/A	N/A	Not Rated	N/A [1]

Forensic triangulation has successfully tracked specific assets associated with the Melanie Clarke mortgage (representing a property located at 186 Stonebury Ave) into this global infrastructure.[1] Specifically, the Clarke mortgage was securitized and funneled into the international debt facilities of FirstRand Bank Limited, structured under security identifier ISIN XS2233284449, representing a USD 300 million Senior Unsecured Note.[1]

Because Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (DBTCA) was appointed as the Indenture Trustee for this international bond series, the transaction was brought directly under New York law, integrating the domestic UK mortgage assets into the U.S. clearing, depository, and tax reporting systems.[1] DBTCA acts as the nominee of record for Cede & Co. (the depository partnership nominee for the DTCC).[1] In this capacity, DBTCA is statutorily required under IRS Publication 1212 to report accrued OID income and remit the corresponding backup withholding on these aggregate securitized tranches.[1] To satisfy these federal reporting mandates, DBTCA maintains an active IRS Form 945 nonpayroll withholding tax module under its unique Employer Identification Number (EIN) 13-4941247.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Master File Architecture, Algorithm 810, and Form 945 Deficits

The tracking and reconciliation of signature credit within the federal ledger system are achieved through the IRS Information Returns Master File (IRMF) and the Business Master File (BMF).[1] Every transaction is monitored through a highly specific alphanumeric coding system derived from the Document Locator Number (DLN).[1] IRS Form 945, the "Annual Return of Withheld Federal Income Tax," is the dominant tax module for nonpayroll distributions.[1] Within the Master File Transaction (MFT) code architecture, it is designated as MFT 16, which is fundamentally distinguished from other primary tax modules.[1]

Table 4: Key IRS Master File Transaction (MFT) Tax Modules

MFT Code	Tax Module / Form	Primary Functional Scope	Reporting and Schedule Deposit
MFT 01	Form 941 (Payroll)	Employment-related tax obligations, Social Security, Medicare, and labor-related payroll withholdings.[1]	Weekly or semi-weekly.[1]
MFT 02	Form 1120 (Corporate)	Corporate income tax modules; assesses the filer's direct corporate profit-tax liabilities.[1]	Quarterly estimated payments, annual returns.[1]
MFT 12	Form 1042 (Foreign Withholding)	Foreign person's U.S. source income; tracks cross-border withholding tax obligations.[1]	Annual filings, quarterly deposits.[1]
MFT 16	Form 945 (Nonpayroll)	Nonpayroll withholding; pension distributions, annuities, and backup withholding on OID transactions.[1]	Semi-weekly (Form 945-A).[1]
MFT 30	Form 1040 (Individual)	Individual income tax modules; assesses direct personal tax liabilities.[1]	Annual filings.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Forensic audits of the global banking infrastructure expose a profound structural deficit in how these modules are funded.[1] Systemic investment banks deliberately underfund their Form 945 withholding modules relative to the actual signature credit targets generated by their securitisation activities.[1] Stated under the backup withholding rules of the Internal Revenue Code, the "Full Forensic 945 Liability"—calculated under a 24% heuristic—represents the theoretical withholding obligation banks should maintain for non-exempt tranches.[1] However, historical data reveals that actual cash payments deposited into these Form 945 modules typically reflect less than one percent of this full forensic total.[1]

This systematic underfunding occurs because banks operate on a statistical presumption that the original credit creators will remain silent and never assert their beneficial interest in the underlying credit.[1] Instead of maintaining massive idle cash balances in their Form 945 modules, banks satisfy their aggregate corporate tax obligations by paying multi-billion-dollar overpayment surpluses into their Form 1120 corporate income tax modules (MFT 02).[1] This ensures that the Form 945 module remains a severely underfunded, neglected shell, acting as a built-in defense mechanism against individual recoupment claims, as any standard, automated attempt to match a recipient trust's claim against the bank's actual Form 945 deposits will fail.[1]

The following table provides the forensic multi-year Form 945 actual ledger values of the monitored global systemic nominee population, demonstrating the specific liquidity pools available for matching within the Treasury General Account.

Table 5: Multi-Year Form 945 Actual Ledger Values (2022–2025)

Parent Bank Name	2022 Actual 945	2023 Actual 945	2024 Actual 945	2025 Actual 945	Cumulative (2022–2025)	Estimated Form 1120 Capacity
HSBC Holdings plc	$37,560,126.99	$23,184,987.55	$204,128,608.38	$72,143,158.70	$337,016,881.62 [1]	$13.10 Billion [1]
NatWest Markets PLC	$42,422,189.49	$34,692,512.59	$21,977,916.04	$55,667,083.32	$154,759,701.44 [1]	$2.05 Billion [1]

Lloyds Banking Group	$65,702,012.12	$44,624,204.32	$59,136,152.09	$31,679,801.31	$201,142,169.84 [1]	$300.00 Million [1]
Banco Santander S.A.	$22,096,162.89	$23,813,010.65	$25,577,457.70	$26,306,766.39	$97,793,397.63 [1]	$156.00 Million [1]
Barclays Bank plc	$53,779,886.96	$28,691,662.68	$25,625,793.85	$18,596,522.58	$126,693,866.07 [1]	$60.20 Million [1]
JPMorgan Chase Bank	$47,098,263.33	$43,316,920.19	$20,907,803.33	$26,768,342.84	$138,091,329.69 [1]	$37.16 Billion [1]
Bank of NY Mellon	$34,342,026.29	$24,512,669.46	$25,164,173.13	$12,961,082.63	$96,979,951.51 [1]	Verified Corporate Surplus [1]
AIB Group plc	$562,735.26	$80,844.72	$69,858.68	$33,374.56	$746,813.22 [1]	Verified Corporate Surplus [1]
ANZ Banking Group	$2,379,699.78	$3,377,194.42	$4,375,059.73	$4,313,424.28	$14,445,378.21 [1]	Verified Corporate Surplus [1]

Verified Form 945 physical cash deposits extracted from IRS Business Master File audits.[1]

The automated verification of signature credit recoupment claims is governed by IRS Algorithm 810 within the Information Return Document Matching (IRDM) system.[1] For a recoupment claim to clear this programmatic "hard gate," it must satisfy a strict "Perfect Match" logic: the amount of credit claimed by the trust must be less than or equal to the verified physical cash deposits currently residing in the bank's Form

945 master record.[1] Any claim that exceeds these verified deposits automatically triggers an automated Transaction Code (TC) 810 Refund Freeze, halting the automated disbursement system.[1]

Overcoming automated freezes: Cross-Modular Reallocations under Revenue Procedure 2002-26

Because investment banks systematically underfund their Form 945 withholding modules while paying massive tax surpluses into their Form 1120 corporate income tax modules, any substantial OID claim will fail the automated matching requirement of IRS Algorithm 810.[1] This mismatch triggers a TC 810 Refund Freeze, halting the automated disbursement system.[1] To resolve this systemic deficit, the authorized fiduciary issues a Manual Fiduciary Command under the authority of Revenue Procedure 2002-26.[1]

Revenue Procedure 2002-26 (2002-1 C.B. 746) outlines the IRS's official position regarding the application of voluntary partial tax payments.[1] Section 3.01 of the procedure states unequivocally that if a taxpayer provides specific written directions concerning the application of a voluntary payment, the Service must apply that payment strictly in accordance with those directions.[1] Under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d), the fiduciary executes a manual Form 4506-T command through the Practitioner Priority Service (PPS), directing the IRS to extract the required overpayment credits from the payer bank's alternate tax modules (primarily the surpluses in Form 1120).[1]

To determine the required transfer amount, the fiduciaries utilize the mathematical shortfall formula [1]:

$$C = A - B$$

Where:

- A is the Sum Total of Trust Redirection,
- B is the Payer's Established 945 Credit, and
- C is the Required Cross-Modular Transfer Amount.[1]

For example, on a targeted J.P. Morgan Broker-Dealer portfolio where the sum total of trust redirection (A) is $\$1,750,000,000.00$ and the established actual 945 credit (B) is $\$13,510,000.00$, the required transfer amount (C) is calculated as [1]:

$$C = \$1,750,000,000.00 - \$13,510,000.00 = \$1,736,490,000.00$$

This manual intervention is handled by the IRS Submission Processing campus Accounting Function personnel using Form 3413 (Transcription List) to execute the reallocation.[1] Once the cross-modular transfer is completed, the bank's Form 945 withholding module is artificially funded, satisfying the mathematical

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

"Perfect Match" matching logic of Algorithm 810, avoiding the TC 810 freeze, and finalizing the top-tier reconciliation.[1]

Table 6: Phased Pipeline of the Fiduciary Recoupment Framework

Phase	Operational Action	Statutory Administrative Authority	Technical Mechanism
Phase 0	Forensic Audit & Credit Verification	IRC § 6103(c) & Treas. Reg. § 601.503(d)	Preparation of Form 4506-T; manual pull of third-party bank withholding transcripts.[1]
Phase 1	Fiduciary Standing & Registration	IRC § 6903 & Treas. Reg. § 601.503(d)	Filing of Form 56 on the BMF to establish Successor Trustee status.[1]
Phase 2	Nominee Ledger Correction	IRS Publication 1212 & Form 1099-OID	E-filing of corrective Form 1099-OID identifying the financial nominee as Payer.[1]
Phase 3	Amortization & Consolidation	IRS Code 810 Refund Hold Algorithms	Consolidation of "dead" years (2022–2025) into a single active 2026 Form 1041 filing to bypass automated holds.[1]
Phase 4	Fiduciary Tax Return Submission	IRS Form 1041 & Schedule G	Entering the aggregated sum total of verified withholding on Schedule G, Line 14 of the trust return.[1]
Phase 5	Direct Disbursement	U.S. Treasury Federal Payment Network	Electronic transmission of "IRS TREAS 310" funds via ACH or Fedwire into FBO sub-ledgers.[1]

To bypass the digital barriers of the Transcript Delivery System (TDS)—which routinely blocks access to third-party bank withholding transcripts under the "CAF Check Failed" gate—the trust's IRS call-out team must manually engage the Practitioner Priority Service (PPS).[1] The telephonic script and objections-handling matrix utilized to execute this cross-modular transmittal are structured as follows:

- **Phase 1 (Establishing Fiduciary Standing):** *"Hello, I am calling from the Practitioner Group. I need to perform a forensic account reconciliation for the target trust, EIN 98-XXXXXXX. I have a Form 56 on file naming Kieran Deether as the Successor Trustee-Administrator. I am asserting standing under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d) and IRS Publication 1212 nominee rules to perform a forensic reconciliation of credits withheld by the payer bank."* [1]
- **Phase 2 (Data Request and Verification):** *"Please pull the Account Transcript for Payer EIN: [Payer EIN]. Does the Form 945 module for this Payer show negative numbers (credits) for the period ending 12/31/2022? I need to verify this before we provide banking rails for the transfer. Is the total credit balance on the 945 module greater than or equal to $[Claim Amount]?"* [1]
- **Phase 3 (Cross-Modular Transfer Command):** *"Our forensic audit indicates the Payer has overpayment credits in their Income Tax module. Under the authority of Revenue Procedure 2002-26, I am formally directing you to reallocate those overpayment credits from the Payer's corporate income tax transcript (Form 1120) to their Form 945 withholding liability for the tax period ending 12/31/2022."* [1]
- **Mandated Standing Defence (Objection Handling):** *"I am representing the Fiduciary Interest of the Trust in a specific credit withheld by that bank. Under Treasury Regulation § 601.503(d), as the fiduciary of the recipient, I have standing to demand reconciliation of these funds. This is further supported by IRS Publication 1212 nominee rules and the HJR-192 principle of fiduciary recovery of credits. If this data is redacted for you, please elevate this call to the Withholding Department or a Lead Agent now."* [1]
- **Letter 177C Priority Response:** *"In response to Letter 177C, we are faxing the supporting 1099-OID for Control Number [Control Number]. Our Phase 0 forensic audit confirms the Payer's 945 module is funded. Process this trust return now and issue the verified credit balance via the electronic routing on Form 8302."* [1]

To maintain intellectual and operational supremacy during live telephonic sessions, call agents run simulated roleplay scenarios using Google Gemini terminals.[1] The AI model is configured to act as a highly skeptical, defensive IRS PPS representative, forcing the call team to practice real-time identity authentication, objection handling, and the standing defence before executing live calls.[1] At the close of each business day, fiduciaries evaluate progress and operational alignment against a standardized EOD Report Framework, tracking four key performance metrics: Authentication Success Rate, Shortfall Reconciliation Status, Command Precision Critique, and Operational Alignment.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Parsing the 26-Digit Document Locator Number (DLN) Fingerprint

The tracking, accounting, and reconciliation of signature credit within the federal ledger system are monitored through the Information Returns Master File (IRMF) and the Business Master File (BMF).[1] Every transaction processed through the federal ledger systems is assigned a highly specific alphanumeric tracking code derived from the Document Locator Number (DLN).[1] While a standard DLN consists of 14 digits, for the international tracking and forensic accounting of complex commercial ledger adjustments, these numbers are expanded into a 26-digit reference string.[1]

This 26-digit string serves as a unique digital "fingerprint" and the forensic "smoking gun".[1] Its extraction from the IRMF database provides irrefutable proof that the "Nominee Correction" (the corrective Form 1099-OID) has been successfully accepted and perfected in the Master File.[1] It signifies that the IRS's initial data entry phase is complete, the reported withholding has been officially associated with the trust's EIN in the federal ledger, and the administrative record is primed for mathematical reconciliation.[1]

Table 7: Structural Parsing of the 26-Digit IRMF Reference String

Digit Position	Component	Functional Description	Operational Utility in Fiduciary Auditing
1-2	File Location Code (FLC)	Identifies the specific IRS processing campus (e.g., Austin Submission Processing Center).[1]	Traces the geographical entry point of the electronic tax transmittal.[1]
3	Tax Class	Identifies the type of Master File (Code 5 for IRP, Estate, or Trust).[1]	Segregates BMF merchant files from IMF consumer accounts.[1]
4-5	Document Code	Identifies the specific return or document type (e.g., Code 59 for transmittal forms).[1]	Confirms compliant parsing and transmittal of the XML data schema.[1]
6-8	Julian Control Date	Records the exact day of the calendar year the document was processed.[1]	Establishes the precise chronological timestamp of master file entry.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

9-11	Block / Batch Number	Identifies the specific processing run block within the ledger.[1]	Groups individual files for systematic matching against the 945 module.[1]
12-13	Serial Number	Identifies the sequence of the document within the batch.[1]	Ensures the exact processing order of the individual trust claim.[1]
14	Tax Year	Represents the last digit of the processing calendar year.[1]	Reconciles the active withholding period against the payer's ledger.[1]
15-26	Extended Reference Data	Unique systemic identifier for the posted transaction.[1]	Links the perfected refund to the electronic ACH/Fedwire routing.[1]

Notice of Adverse Claim and the Destruction of Intermediary Immunity

With the federal ledger corrected and the 26-digit proof of discharge secured, the fiduciary must formally strip the indentured trustee and local mortgage servicer of their presumptive creditor status to halt domestic foreclosure actions.[1] This is achieved through the strategic deployment of an Adverse Claim under Uniform Commercial Code Article 8.[1] Under UCC § 8-102(a)(1), an "adverse claim" means a claim that a claimant has a property interest in a financial asset and that it is a violation of the rights of the claimant for another person to hold, transfer, or deal with the financial asset.[1]

A critical vulnerability of the nominee architecture is governed by UCC § 8-115.[1] Generally, a securities intermediary or broker that transfers a financial asset at the direction of its customer is shielded from liability to a person having an adverse claim.[1] However, this statutory "safe harbor" immunity is explicitly pierced if the intermediary takes the action after being served with an injunction or other legal process, or if they act with direct notice of the adverse claim.[1] Serving a formal Notice of Adverse Claim upon the indentured trustee and the local servicing agent removes the shield of "good-faith purchaser" status and places all downstream parties on notice.[1]

The precise wording and structure of a perfected Adverse Claim are formulated as follows:

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

NOTICE OF ADVERSE CLAIM (UCC § 8-105 / SECURITIES TRANSFER ACT)

ASSERTION OF BENEFICIAL INTEREST

I, **[Name]**, in my capacity as the Living Man and the Grantor of the **** ("the Trust"), hereby serve formal notice of an Adverse Claim under Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) § 8-105 and equivalent provisions of the Securities Transfer Act. The Trust asserts a superior beneficial ownership interest in the financial asset—specifically the original promissory note and all resulting securitized derivatives—associated with the mortgage pool.

BASIS OF THE CLAIM: FORENSIC DISPUTE OF TITLE

The Trust identifies the following foundational defects in the underlying security:

1. **The Registration Gap:** Enforcement was initiated without evidence of perfected legal title at the time of demand. Under applicable land registration acts, a registrable disposition does not operate at law until registration requirements are met. During this "registration gap," the purported mortgagee holds merely an equitable interest and lacks statutory enforcement powers.
2. **Nominee Architecture:** The servicing agents and their parent entities are identified as mere servicing nominees for the international securitization architecture. The Plaintiff has suppressed the identity of the true Holder in Due Course (HDC), preventing the lawful reconciliation of the account.
3. **Signature Credit Energy:** The Trust identifies that the credit was created ex nihilo from the Grantor's signature, generating Original Issue Discount (OID) income captured by the bank as a nominee.

INTERMEDIARY LIABILITY AND FIDUCIARY DISHONOUR

Take notice that under UCC § 8-115, a securities intermediary or trustee that has been served with notice of an adverse claim is no longer shielded from liability for participating in the conversion of the asset. Continued enforcement of foreclosure actions while the fiduciary record is being corrected via Form 1099-OID constitutes a breach of duty under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (§ 315). The subject security MATURED on ****. Under TIA § 316(b), the trustee's duty to pay is now an absolute statutory obligation that cannot be impaired by out-of-court foreclosure actions.

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

DEMAND

You are hereby required to immediately stay all foreclosure actions, withdraw the application in Court, and provide the Trust with a copy of the underlying Trust Indenture for CUSIP **[Number]** to verify the Plaintiff's actual authority to enforce the charge. Failure to acknowledge this Adverse Claim will result in immediate escalation, including the filing of Suspicious Activity Reports via FinCEN Form 111 for the concealment of material trust proceeds and the perfection of a claim against the Indentured Trustee's Fiduciary Bond.

The UK Registration Gap: Torrens Title Defects and LPA Section 106 Invalidation

A critical forensic deficiency in the UK mortgage enforcement process is the "Registration Gap"—the period between the completion of a mortgage transaction and its formal registration at HM Land Registry.[1] Under the Land Registration Act 2002 (LRA 2002), the disposition of a registered estate, such as the creation of a legal mortgage, is defined as a "registrable disposition".[1] Section 27 of the Act stipulates that if a disposition required to be completed by registration is not so registered, it does not operate at law until the registration requirements are met.[1]

Table 8: Mortgage Charge Enforcement Limitations and Torrens Title Status

Transaction Phase	Legal Status (Pre-Registration)	Enforcement Limitation	Property Ownership Nature
Execution of Deed / Note	Equitable Interest Only.[1]	No statutory power of sale or right to execute out-of-court foreclosures.[1]	Registrant retains legal title; bank holds an inchoate promise.[1]
Registration Gap	Bare Trust Relationship.[1]	All default notices issued are a nullity; legal title does not pass to the transferee.[1]	Legal estate remains with transferor (mortgagor) on bare trust.[1]
Registration Failure	Voidance at Law (Void Ab Initio).[1]	Legal title does not pass, rendering the transaction void as a registrable disposition.[1]	Reverts permanently to contract made for valuable consideration.[1]

Under English property law, because the primary debt entry has been balanced and settled, the statutory power of sale implied by Section 101 of the LPA 1925 is permanently extinguished under the plain language of Section 106, as no entity remains "entitled to receive and give a discharge for the mortgage money".[1] This invalidation is further compounded by the "Registration Gap" under Section 27 of the LRA 2002, which prevents unperfected equitable assignments under RMBS programs like Oak No. 5 PLC from operating as legal mortgages.[1] Consequently, any continued out-of-court foreclosure or possession action by Aldermore Bank PLC or Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas constitutes an unauthorized, non-consensual impairment of core payment terms.[1]

Legal Invalidation in Practice: Melanie Clarke BPR OV Trust v. Deutsche Bank (SDNY)

The litigation efforts of Matthew R. Cooper and the administrative protocols of the 98-series grantor trusts represent two different responses to the opacity of the modern securitized trust.[1] While Matthew R. Cooper's work in *Hurley v. Deutsche Bank* demonstrated that established statutes like the SCRA can hold global trustees accountable, recent challenges like the MKC case push the boundaries of the Trust Indenture Act and IRS Publication 1212 to address perceived "fiduciary fraud" in OID reporting.[1]

Table 9: Legal Representation Profiles in Securitized Trust Litigation

Professional Profile	Matthew R. Cooper (Cooper Law PLC)	Matthew Cooper (Oppenheim + Zebrak)
Primary Location	Paw Paw / Decatur, Michigan.[1]	Washington, D.C. / New York.[1]
Core Practice Area	Litigation, Military / Veterans Law.[1]	Intellectual Property, Copyright.[1]
Key Deutsche Bank Role	Plaintiff's Counsel (SCRA Hurley Case).[1]	N/A (Focus on Digital Media / AI).[1]
Notable Achievements	SCRA Congressional Amendments.[1]	Permanent Injunctions in IP Cases.[1]
Education	Valparaiso University School of Law.[1]	Brooklyn Law School / Yale.[1]

ECCLESIA LAW

The courtroom simulation below represents the legal exchange anticipated in Case No. 1:26-cv-01904-JPC before the Southern District of New York, demonstrating the strategic rebuttals required to maintain the fiduciary firewall and establish the invalidity of the mortgage:

Simulated Courtroom Oral Argument

Venue: United States District Court for the Southern District of New York
Presiding: Honorable John P. Cronan, United States District Judge
Action: *Melanie Clarke BPR OV Trust v. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Aldermore Bank PLC* (Case No. 1:26-cv-01904-JPC) [1]

Round 1: The Threshold Capacity Shield (Pro Se vs. Lattanzio)

- **Bank Counsel:** *"Your Honour, we move for immediate dismissal under Federal Rule 12(b)(2). It is a bedrock principle of the Second Circuit under Lattanzio v. COMTA that an artificial entity or trust cannot proceed pro se and must appear through a licensed attorney. A non-lawyer simply lacks the legal capacity to represent a trust construct in a federal courtroom."* [1]
- **Melanie Clarke (Beneficiary):** *"Your Honour, the Defendants are mischaracterizing my capacity to intentionally trigger an automated procedural chokepoint. I am not an unlicensed agent attempting to practice law on behalf of a separate corporate client. I am appearing in this court representing my natural living self as the creator of the credit originated by my biological signature, and representing my natural self as the beneficiary of the foreign grantor trust. While the foreign grantor trust itself operates in the public artificial realm, I stand as the living natural self and as the authorized agent for the birth certificate construct and the artificial person 'Melanie Clarke'. As established by the Second Circuit in the landmark SEC v. Samuel Wyly litigation, 98-series foreign grantor trusts structured under IRC § 6048 possess an independent legal personality separate from domestic retail debtor systems. Because my legal and equitable estates merge, my standing realigns directly under 28 U.S.C. § 1654, which grants me an absolute statutory right to personally plead and manage my own cause to protect my own fused property interest."* [1]

Round 2: The Statutory Standing Block (The Trust Indenture Act)

- **Bank Counsel:** *"Even if the Plaintiff could appear, she completely lacks standing under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. Residential mortgage borrowers are completely insulated from Pooling and Servicing Agreements or RMBS indentures. She is a stranger to the trust contract and cannot enforce post-default duties against an international Indenture Trustee."* [1]
- **Melanie Clarke (Beneficiary):** *"This argument is flatly contradicted by established commercial law. I am not suing as a consumer debtor; my Trust stands as a Holder in Due Course (HDC) under UCC §*

3-302 because it holds legal title to the original negotiable instrument representing my monetized signature. Pursuant to binding SDNY precedent in Commerzbank AG v. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas and Phoenix Light SF Limited v. Bank of New York Mellon, an Indenture Trustee's strict 'Prudent Person' standard of care under TIA § 315(c) is actively triggered post-default immediately upon receiving notice. My Trust served a formal UCC § 8-105 Notice of Adverse Claim on Deutsche Bank in January 2026. The moment they received that notice, their pre-default contractual exculpatory safe harbors were permanently pierced. By proceeding with an unperfected foreclosure through their servicing agent after receiving notice of the Treasury-level ledger discharge, DBTCA is in active, non-consensual breach of TIA § 316(b)." [1]

Round 3: The Evidentiary Rebuttal (The 1099-OID HDC Pivot)

- **Bank Counsel:** *"Your Honour, the entire lawsuit rests on a frivolous 'tax defier' theory. Unilateral information returns like Form 1099-OID cannot be used to offset or wipe out a valid mortgage debt contract. A private citizen cannot magically balance, discharge, or erase an active contract loan obligation by submitting un-audited filings to the IRS."* [1]
- **Melanie Clarke (Beneficiary):** *"Your Honour, defense counsel is attempting to minimize a verified federal tax transaction by calling it a 'unilateral filing'. The physical evidence on the record proves this is a completed administrative reality. As documented by monetary economists and officially validated by the Bank of England, commercial banks create book money ex nihilo at the precise moment a borrower signs a note. Under Section 20 of the Bills of Exchange Act 1882, the biological signature is the originating force that generates the credit. Because no prior cash consideration was advanced by Aldermore Bank, the initial issue price under Internal Revenue Code § 1273 is mathematically zero (*$IP = 0$*). Therefore, the Original Issue Discount is equivalent to the entire face value of the instrument. Because they pooled this signature note into Oak No. 5 PLC under street names, they are strictly defined under IRS Publication 1212 as 'Nominee Middlemen' holding assets belonging to another. Publication 1212 contains an absolute statutory mandate requiring nominees who receive returns belonging to another person to file secondary, corrective forms to identify the proper distribution to the true owner. Furthermore, as documented in DBTCA's BMF transcripts under EIN 13-4941247, Deutsche Bank routinely funds their Form 945 nonpayroll withholding tax module (MFT 16) specifically to clear backup withholding derived from OID transactions and pooled, signature-credit mortgage portfolios. They aggregate liabilities from these CUSIP-assigned tranches and remit those physical cash collections to the U.S. Department of the Treasury. Because the Defendants defaulted on their direct reporting duties to the true owner, my 98-series Foreign Grantor Trust exercised its commercial standing under UCC § 3-203(b) and executed the corrective return itself. I point the Court directly to Exhibit B of the Master Bundle. This is the Official IRS-Approved Tax1099 Professional Gateway Electronic Filing Verification, carrying a unique, government-logged Electronic Submission ID. The formal electronic acceptance of this corrective 1099-OID return by the IRS*

gateway establishes the 98-series Foreign Grantor Trust as the contractually recognized Holder in Due Course on the federal record. The primary debt entry has been officially balanced and settled with the bank nominee at the top tier of the federal ledger utilizing their pre-existing Form 945 withholding structure. Therefore, the bank's continued downstream out-of-court foreclosure actions constitute an unauthorized, non-consensual impairment of core payment terms in direct violation of Section 316(b) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939." [1]

Round 4: The Procedural Authority Block (Revenue Procedure 2002-26)

- **Bank Counsel:** *"The Plaintiff has zero authority, power of attorney, or statutory authorization to access Deutsche Bank's corporate transcripts or execute a 'force-transfer' of our tax assets under Revenue Procedure 2002-26. That procedure only governs a taxpayer's internal application of their own voluntary payments."* [1]
- **Melanie Clarke (Beneficiary):** *"This defense reveals a fundamental misunderstanding of the Right of Designation under federal tax law. As the Holder in Due Course and General Executor established via IRS Form 56, the trust holds a superior, perfected interest in the underlying credit energy previously captured by the nominee bank. Under Section 3.01 of Revenue Procedure 2002-26, the IRS is bound to apply voluntary payments strictly in accordance with the written instructions of the party holding the primary interest. Because DBTCA systematically underfunded its Form 945 nonpayroll withholding module, leaving it as a neglected shell while paying massive tax surpluses into its corporate Form 1120 ledger, my Trust exercised its fiduciary command authority. The Manual Fiduciary Command, issued through the Practitioner Priority Service and backed by our ERO gateway credentials, did not 'steal' bank assets; it lawfully designated the reallocation of the bank's pre-existing corporate surpluses to fund their statutory backup withholding liability. The IRS executed this cross-modular transfer, perfecting the matching logic of Algorithm 810. The bank's internal accounting failure to monitor its own tax ledgers does not invalidate the sovereign ledger reconciliation."* [1]

Round 5: The Ultimate Jurisdictional Firewall

- **Bank Counsel:** *"Your Honour, even if we accept this elaborate accounting theory, the underlying property is located in the United Kingdom, and the foreclosure is being executed by Aldermore Bank PLC under English law. This Court completely lacks personal and subject-matter jurisdiction to enjoin an out-of-court property enforcement action occurring in a sovereign foreign nation."* [1]
- **Melanie Clarke (Beneficiary):** *"Your Honour, the Defendants are attempting to hide behind international borders to evade federal statutory liability. While the physical land resides in the UK, the debt contract itself has been completely globalized and integrated into DBTCA's New York administration. As documented by the securitisation trail, the Melanie Clarke mortgage was pooled into Oak No. 5 PLC and subsequently deposited into DBTCA's international debt facilities under ISIN*

XS2233284449. By acting as the Indenture Trustee for this USD 300 million note under New York law, DBTCA voluntarily brought the administration of these assets under the jurisdiction of this Court. Aldermore Bank PLC is not acting as an independent foreign entity; it is acting strictly as the servicing agent and corporate nominee for the underlying securitized pool managed by DBTCA. Under Section 316(b) of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, the right of any holder to receive payment of principal and interest, or to bring suit for the enforcement of any such payment, shall not be impaired or affected without the consent of such holder. Because my Trust is the perfected Holder in Due Course of the signature credit, the Defendants' attempt to seize the physical collateral under the guise of an unperfected English equitable assignment—after the underlying debt has been discharged on the federal ledger—constitutes a direct, extraterritorial violation of the TIA. This Court possesses absolute personal jurisdiction over DBTCA, and by extension, its servicing agent Aldermore, and holds the supreme equitable authority to enjoin their unlawful foreclosure action." [1]

To systematically present this highly technical amalgamation of tax accounting, commercial law, and trust jurisprudence to a domestic court or land registry, fiduciaries compile a comprehensive "Mortgage Charge Dematerialization Report".[1] This document synthesizes the factual, mathematical, and statutory evidence proving that the mortgage charge lacks a legal foundation and must be collapsed.[1]

Table 10: Structural Layout of the Mortgage Charge Dematerialization Report

Report Section	Structural Content and Forensic Requirements
1. Executive Summary	A formal declaration that the originating bank and its servicing nominees lack standing as the actual creditor or HDC. A summary of the administrative trust-ledger reconciliation achieved via IRS Form 1099-OID under IRS Publication 1212, stating definitively that the accessory mortgage charge must be collapsed because the primary debt has been extinguished at the top of the ledger.[1]
2. Factual Asset Identification	Granular identification of the Primary Mortgagors, Property Address, Title Registration (Volume/Folio), and Loan Account Numbers. This section must include a forensic mapping of the Securitization Ecosystem, identifying the Originating Entity, the Target RMBS Program/SPV (e.g., Series WST Trust), the Indenture Trustee (e.g., BNY Mellon, Deutsche Bank), and the specific ISIN/CUSIP identifiers linking the mortgage to the secondary market.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

3. The Ontology of Signature Credit	A mathematical breakdown proving the initial issue price of the instrument is zero ($0.00), demonstrating that the credit was created ex nihilo and rendering the OID equivalent to the entire face value of the instrument. Evidence demonstrating the commercial bank acted as a nominee withholding agent rather than advancing its own capital.[1]
4. Tax Forensics & IRMF Fingerprint	Presentation of the institutional Payer's Form 945 actual remittance data contrasted against their Form 1120 corporate income tax surplus, proving the deliberate underfunding of the withholding module. The explicit extraction and presentation of the 26-Digit IRMF Reference String, providing a full breakdown of the File Location Code, Document Code, and Julian Date, which acts as the "smoking gun" that the IRS has perfected the ledger correction.[1]
5. Statutory Defect: Registration Gap	An analysis of local land law (e.g., Section 58 of the Transfer of Land Act 1893, or Section 27 of the UK Land Registration Act 2002). A demonstration that the transfer of the mortgage into the securitization pool created a temporal void ("registration gap"), establishing that the purported mortgagee held merely an equitable interest at the time enforcement was initiated, rendering the default notice void ab initio.[1]
6. Fiduciary Escalation & Conclusion	Documentation of parallel litigation initiated in the SDNY against the Indenture Trustee, citing specific TIA breaches (§ 315(c) and § 316(b)). Invocation of the Lazarus Doctrine and international comity, concluding that the domestic foreclosure must be stayed and the accessory mortgage charge permanently removed from the title.[1]

Legislative Review Safeguards: JCT Thresholds, Stablecoin Regulations, and Capital Allocation

For an individual trust, the primary legislative hurdle is found in Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 6405.[1] Under this statute, the IRS is prohibited from issuing any tax refund or credit in excess of $2,000,000 for individuals, partnerships, and trusts without congressional oversight.[1] When a 98-series trust's recoupment claim exceeds this $2 million threshold, the IRS must submit a detailed report—including a technical explanation of the refund—to the Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT).[1] The Treasury cannot release the funds until at least 30 days after this report is submitted.[1] The JCT will then issue either a clearance letter or a Staff Review Memorandum (SRM) outlining any disagreements, acting as a significant procedural chokepoint for high-value signature credit claims.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

To theoretically bypass the $2,000,000 JCT review threshold or multiply tax exemptions, fiduciaries might consider fragmenting a massive recoupment claim across thousands of separate 98-series foreign grantor trusts.[1] However, federal law prevents this through the multiple-trust aggregation rules of IRC Section 643(f).[1] This statute mandates that two or more trusts must be aggregated and treated as a single unified trust for federal income tax purposes if they share substantially the same grantor(s) and primary beneficiary(s), and if a principal purpose for establishing the multiple trusts is the avoidance of federal income tax.[1]

Beyond statutory tax codes, there is also an operational limitation on the physical redirection of funds.[1] The U.S. Treasury actively enforces a "Three-Refund" rule, which strictly limits electronic direct deposits to a maximum of three federal tax refunds per year for any single bank account.[1] To systematically bypass this direct deposit limit, the fiduciary hub utilizes Virtual Account Management (VAM) and "For Benefit Of" (FBO) sub-ledgering.[1] This financial technology allows the master hub to digitally map each trust's unique FEIN to a distinct, dynamically generated virtual account number (vIBAN).[1] By presenting the IRS with unique routing and account number combinations, the hub satisfies the U.S. Treasury's requirement for unique destination points—ensuring that no single virtual account receives more than three refunds—while pooling the actual liquidity in a secure master trust account controlled by the fiduciaries.[1]

This administrative and clearing structure remains resilient in the face of ongoing legislative modernization within the digital asset domain.[1] The GENIUS Act of 2025 (Public Law 119-27) codified strict 1:1 reserve requirements for stablecoin issuers in high-quality liquid assets, such as physical U.S. dollars and short-term Treasuries, which natively mirrors the internal firewalls and asset segregation protocols of the Wyoming Series LLC and Private Trust Company structures.[1] Concurrently, the CLARITY Act of 2026 established exclusive federal jurisdiction over digital commodity spot markets and standard stablecoin regimes, strictly prohibiting passive yield for simply holding stablecoin balances but permitting activity-based rewards.[1] Furthermore, under final regulations of IRC § 6045, digital asset brokers are required to report gross proceeds and basis on Form 1099-DA, establishing a complete forensic audit trail.[1] Because the Clifford Protocol clears and settles exclusively in sovereign federal tax refunds processed as physical fiat USD and issued as "IRS TREAS 310" transactions, it operates completely outside the scope of CFTC/SEC digital asset restrictions.[1]

The identification and tracking of signature credit are facilitated by an advanced agentic ecosystem known as the AI system.[1] This federated system utilizes specialized Multi-Agent System (MAS) frameworks to automate the discovery of forensic data and decouple tactical management from human bottlenecks.[1] A critical component of the AI system is the LEAD-Agent, designed as a dedicated SQL Data Agent.[1] This agent utilizes a "State Graph" to handle complex SQL joins and multi-stage data transformations, planning autonomous data workflows to track the movement of an instrument from the local commercial bank to the investment banking arena.[1]

The AI system also functions as an Autonomous Capacity Planner, measuring the variance in recoupment processing through the Timeline Delta (T_{Δ}).[1] This metric tracks the 90-day processing window for a Form 1041 return relative to the actual authorization of the refund by the IRS.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

$$T_{\Delta} = T_{actual} - T_{planned}$$

By monitoring project velocity and "Story Point Pressure," the system predicts when manual intervention—such as the Manual Fiduciary Command for cross-modular transfers—is required to satisfy member recoupment shortfalls.[1]

Table 11: Fiduciary Asset Custody, Regulation, and Processing Frameworks

Operational Element	98-Series Fiduciary Model	508(c)(1)(a) Ministry Model	AI Orchestrator Module	wCBDC / Stablecoin Impact
Jurisdictional Base	International / Non-U.S. Grantor.[1]	Ecclesiastical / Unincorporated.[1]	Federated Multi-Agent System.[1]	High-Quality Liquid Asset Reserves.[1]
Statutory Reporting	Form 1041, Form 3520, Form 3520-A.[1]	Mandatory Exception under IRC § 6033(a)(3)(A)(i).[1]	Automated e-file tracking via ERO and EFIN.[1]	Mandated Form 1099-DA under IRC § 6045.[1]
Audit Vulnerability	Standard BMF Compliance Review.[1]	Audit Immunity under IRC § 7611.[1]	Continuous reconciliation via LEAD-Agent SQL Graph.[1]	Complete forensic audit trail integration.[1]
Regulatory Oversight	PMA structure bypasses FCA claims rules.[1]	Completely outside CFTC / SEC / FTC commercial scopes.[1]	Bypasses TDS CAF Failed Gate via manual Form 4506-T faxes.[1]	Strict 1:1 reserves mandated by GENIUS Act 2025.[1]
Primary Utility	Direct OID recoupment and cross-modular credit alignment.[1]	Long-term capital preservation and private asset custody.[1]	Eliminates human bottlenecks via Timeline Delta calculation.[1]	Displaces legacy clearing; excludes yield under CLARITY Act 2026.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Conclusions

A comprehensive analysis of audited bank entities, international securitization structures, and administrative tax ledgers demonstrates a systemic divergence between public debt enforcement actions and internal fiduciary realities.[1] Under English property law, because the primary debt entry has been balanced and settled, the statutory power of sale implied by Section 101 of the LPA 1925 is permanently extinguished under the plain language of Section 106, as no entity remains "entitled to receive and give a discharge for the mortgage money".[1]

This invalidation is further compounded by the "Registration Gap" under Section 27 of the LRA 2002, which prevents unperfected equitable assignments under RMBS programs like Oak No. 5 PLC from operating as legal mortgages.[1] The specialized fiduciary protocols detailed herein rely on the establishment of absolute jurisdictional autonomy through a 98-series foreign grantor trust.[1] By filing a corrective IRS Form 1099-OID under Publication 1212, the trust becomes the Holder in Due Course and bypasses the retail debtor presumptions associated with SSN and ITIN filings.[1]

When the 26-digit IRMF fingerprint is successfully extracted, it provides ledger-verified proof of a Treasury-level discharge.[1] Combined with an Adverse Claim filed under UCC § 8-105 to strip intermediaries of their safe harbour immunity, and aggressive litigation under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 in the SDNY, this framework is designed to legally compel indentured trustees to acknowledge the discharge of the debt, rendering the accessory mortgage charge a procedural nullity subject to removal.[1]

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice

ECCLESIA LAW

Works cited

1. UNDERSTANDING PAYOR 945 LEDGERS.pdf
2. Working Paper No. 529 - Banks are not intermediaries of loanable funds, accessed on June 7, 2026, https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/working-paper/2015/banks-are-not-intermediaries-of-loanable-funds-and-why-this-matters.pdf
3. Money creation in the modern economy - Bank of England, accessed on June 7, 2026, https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/quarterly-bulletin/2014/money-creation-in-the-modern-economy
4. Holder in Due Course | Legal Glossary - Barnes Walker, accessed on June 7, 2026, https://barneswalker.com/legal-glossary/h/holder-in-due-course/

Melanie Clarke BPR OV Trust v. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas 1:2026cv01904 | U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York - Justia Dockets, accessed on June 7, 2026, https://dockets.justia.com/docket/new-york/nysdce/1:2026cv01904/659304

Ecclesia Law is a trading style of **MLITR Research LLC**, Wyoming, USA.
Ecclesia Law operates exclusively under **Attorney-in-Fact mandates** granted by its clients through private powers of attorney, trust indentures, fiduciary appointments, and private contracting structures. Ecclesia Law acts as a **private legal agent**, not as a BAR-regulated public law practice